

Feedback-Questions around the approach indicators: Gender, Governance and Resilience



- **Indicator #19: How do we define 'meaningful', so that we can report numbers "participating meaningfully" in a way that is comparable and compatible across a region or group of countries? The 2-page guidance is not sufficiently clear on this.**
- **Indicator #20: This indicator covers many different things, that do not seem to be comparable. Can a more operational definition be provided of what constitutes 'responsive to....people of all genders'? How do we determine what counts, in a way that is comparable and compatible across CARE? Is this just mentioning gender in a document, having a budget that is split by gender or something else?**
- **Can safety nets or social accountability processes be considered both under governance and resilience?**

Safety net projects are closely linked with resilience (e.g. addressing vulnerabilities, shocks, stresses, or coping mechanisms that people use-need to access a service or other), therefore, it would be important to reflect this at the time of reporting. For example, you can report safety-net actions under inclusive governance (e.g. people reached in the FY), also report data under resilience indicators (% people actively engaged in reducing their vulnerabilities to the shocks that affect them) AND also report data that is relevant to the outcome area that the safety nets are most related with (people reached or impact achieved in as a result of applying safety nets in FNS, SRMH, WEE, etc.)

As per social accountability processes, the relationship of governance and resilience may need to be analyzed and defined based on the nature of each project. They may be linked when addressing vulnerability and targeting of people who benefit of a safety net, and holding government to account for inclusion and exclusion errors for the safety net. If that is the case, same recommendation applies: report data on all relevant information you may have for governance and resilience.

Feedback-Questions around the approach indicators: Gender, Governance and Resilience



- **Indicator #21: What does it mean to build resilience? Will this draw from the definition that CARE is currently developing?**
- **Indicator #21: How do we define 'actively engaged'?**
- **Indicator#21: How should we measure resilience? What questions should we ask? And cut off points?**
- **Indicator #21: How is this indicator different from Indicator #15?**