

***Sense-making and Learning Activity***

Please refer to the excel sheet of data collected for your country in FY16

* **Excel sheet**: These are all of the projects your team reported on for FY16
* **Dashboard**: A visual representation of data collected from FY14- FY16

Based on your report, what are some questions that come to mind?

**Example**: Sudan

* Looking at the number of projects reported in FY16, does this reflect the actual number of existing projects in your county? Did Sudan have more than 20 projects?
* Sudan reported higher levels of advocacy and scale up than others in the region and globally. Why might this be?
* Program Quality Check: Sudan’s numbers are higher than the region and global levels for civil society strengthening, addressing climate change, and implementing through partners. How are projects/initiatives able to do so? Sudan’s scores for focusing or mainstreaming GBV in comparison to the region and globally are relatively lower. Why might this be?
* Gender and governance markers: Several projects in Sudan in FY 16 reported that their projects were gender harmful or unaware of governance on the gender and governance markers. Are there any ideas/thoughts about why this might be?
* How might this data inform your country strategy?
* How can you see using some of this information inform your regional strategy?
* Was there anything surprising in these country profiles?
* How else would you want to show PIIRS data? What other information do you want?

