

Benefit of GT MEAL

Supports the ownership of MEAL by the participants themselves

Ensuring participants actually participate, and authentically, so can hold learning and knowledge.

the 'process' of collecting data in itself is a method of bringing change

Challenge of GT MEAL

Documentation +1

Aggregation and sensemaking from qualitative and participatory data: making data work for global learning and evidence and ALSO for project participants

ensuring that our systems aggregate and reflect in global mechanisms (not only sdgs)

Figuring out what works -- takes time, iteration, listening

GT MEAL requires critical competencies and skills by MEAL staff

There are some "traditional" "extractive" practices that are needed (eg HH surveys)

Incorporate MEAL in the intervention itself. Meaningfully engaging communities in co-development, co-management, co-analysis of MEAL.

Approaches you are using to GT MEAL

SAA

FGDs

Sharing project progress with communities and local partners in quarterly report meetings

Sensemaker

Outcome Mapping

Photovoice

Using CSC as an example of co-development and co-management of monitoring

Rapid Information Communication and Accountability Assessment

Observation Journals (WLIE)

TP's girl-led activism model that includes girls themselves doing participatory MEL activities including baseline and endline

Combination of quantitative (RCT) and qualitative MEAL in Indashyikirwa (Rwanda)

Accountability Scorecard

Double down/enriching on FAM

Piloting youth lead research

What can YOU do?

Pilot approach for global aggregation of qualitative & participatory data, around 3 domains of agency, structure and relations +1! (feeding into 3 "framing indicators" for Vision 2030)

Document experiences and share with CoP :)

Include meal feminist approaches and experiences are included in our advocacy efforts / fund raising & proposals

What can WE do?(as CoP)

Develop GT MEAL recommendations to CARE approaches: SAA, CSC, VSLA.

More MEAL frameworks and tools for our GT approaches, like SAA, to ease implementation burden.

ensure we develop standards and guidelines agreed with COs and colleagues actually involved in the implementation of programmes so experiences are comparable

Identify what common practices we need to stop doing and what we can do instead.

Capacity strengthening and knowledge sharing sessions on GT approaches (like Photovoice/Sensemaker).