Feedback-Questions around the content of the PIIRS forms

- **What is the difference between a project form and an initiative form ?**

    Both forms collet key information on the characteristics of our work, the reach, depth and alignment with CI Program Strategy. The main difference is that the projects form is focused on grant agreements so it captures data on donors, timelines, focus of investments, etc. The initiative form focuses on capturing actions that go beyond projects/grant agreements and this normally involves flexible funds for advocacy, research, capacity building, etc.

- **Program Type classification: "Development Access to and control over economic resources" and "Humanitarian Access to and control over economic resources", are these concerned only with the women access and control or that will be in general for all genders?**

- **Program Type classification: In the program type classification drop down list there should space for text on explanation about selecting program e.g. development other focusing on inclusive governance and social accountability**

- **Does all the data reported to PIIRS need to be supported by an external evaluation as evidence documented?**

- **How do we treat overlapping-double counting between sectors and between projects?**

- **How do we report on projects implemented in Consortia, where CARE implements part of the actions and partially contributes to the results?**

- **Is it valid to calculate indirect participants by multiplying direct participants by the average size of families?**

Feedback-Questions around the content of the PIIRS forms

- ## Feedback to the content of the PIIRS forms

  - The forms have become too long and more complicated.
  - French version project form: Question on direct expected participants and total indirect expected participants are inverted.
  - All forms: Add West Bank and Gaza as a Country
  - Spanish version project form: Question on generic name of donor, when you select an option in the first menu, it automatically select the same one for the other 2 questions below.
  - Staff forms should have a comments section.
  - For questions that are not pertinent to a project or initiative, there should be N/A options
  - English version forms: Indicator 15 at the project form is different from the same indicator in the Initiative form
  - Indicator 16 in project forms: Cells E259 and E262 refers to 'Access to non-formal and formal financial services' (there is a mistake in cell E262 repeating non-formal when it should be 'formal')